

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# Fixed point theorems for cyclic Meir-Keeler type mappings in complete metric spaces

Chi-Ming Chen

Correspondence: [ming@mail.nhcue.edu.tw](mailto:ming@mail.nhcue.edu.tw)

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Hsinchu University of Education, No.521 Nanda Rd., Hsinchu City 300, Taiwan

**Abstract**

In this article, by using the Meir-Keeler type mappings, we obtain some new fixed point theorems for the cyclic orbital stronger (weaker) Meir-Keeler contractions and generalized cyclic stronger (weaker) Meir-Keeler contractions. Our results generalize or improve many recent fixed point theorems in the literature.

**Mathematical Subject Classification:** 54H25; 47H10**Keywords:** generalized cyclic mapping, cyclic orbital mapping, fixed point theorem, cyclic Meir-Keeler contraction**1 Introduction and preliminaries**

Throughout this article, by  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , we denote the set of all non-negative numbers, while  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all natural numbers. It is well known and easy to prove that if  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, and if  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous and  $f$  satisfies

$$d(fx, f^2x) \leq k \cdot d(x, fx), \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } k \in (0, 1),$$

then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$ . Using the above conclusion, Kirk et al. [1] proved the following fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1** [1] *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and suppose  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  satisfies*

- (i)  $f(A) \subset B$  and  $f(B) \subset A$ ,
- (ii)  $d(fx, fy) \leq k \cdot d(x, y)$  for all  $x \in A, y \in B$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$ .

*Then  $A \cap B$  is nonempty and  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .*

The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . A mapping  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is called a cyclic map if  $f(A) \subseteq B$  and  $f(B) \subseteq A$ . In the recent, Karpagam and Agrawal [2] introduced the notion of cyclic orbital contraction, and obtained a unique fixed point theorem for such a map.

**Definition 1** [2] *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ ,  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a cyclic map such that for some  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\kappa_x \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$d(f^{2n}x, fy) \leq \kappa_x \cdot d(f^{2n-1}x, y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in A. \quad (1)$$

*Then  $f$  is called a cyclic orbital contraction.*

**Theorem 2** [2] *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a cyclic orbital contraction. Then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .*

Furthermore, Kirk et al. [1] introduced the notion of the generalized cyclic mapping and obtained some fixed point results. Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $f: \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$ . Then  $f$  is called a generalized cyclic map if  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $A_{k+1} = A_1$ . Kirk et al. [1] first extended the question of whether Edelstein's [3] classical result for contractive mappings, and they obtained the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** [1] *Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , at least one of which is compact, and suppose  $f: \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  satisfies the following conditions (where  $A_{k+1} = A_1$ ):*

- (i)  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,
- (ii)  $d(fx, fy) < d(x, y)$  whenever  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}$  and  $x \neq y$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

*Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.*

On the other hand, Kirk et al. [1] took up the question of whether condition (ii) of Theorem 3 can be replaced by contractive conditions which typically arise in extensions of Banach's theorem. The authors began with a condition introduced by Geraghty [4]. Let  $S$  denote the class of those functions  $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$  that satisfy the simple condition:

$$\alpha(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 4** [4] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, let  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , and suppose that there exists  $\alpha \in S$  such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

*Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$  in  $X$  and  $\{f^n x\}$  converges to  $z$  for each  $x \in X$ .*

Applying Theorem 4, Kirk et al. [1] proved the below theorem.

**Theorem 5** [1] *Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , let  $\alpha \in S$ , and suppose  $f: \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  satisfies the following conditions (where  $A_{k+1} = A_1$ ):*

- (i)  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,
- (ii)  $d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y)$  for all  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

*Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.*

In 1969, Boyd and Wong [5] introduced the notion of  $\Phi$ -contraction. A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$  on a metric space is called  $\Phi$ -contraction if there exists an upper semi-continuous function  $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \Phi(d(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Kirk et al. [1] also proved the below theorem.

**Theorem 6** [1] Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . Suppose  $f : \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  satisfies the following conditions (where  $A_{k+1} = A_1$ ):

- (i)  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,
- (ii)  $d(fx, fy) \leq \Phi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

where  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is upper semi-continuous from the right and satisfies  $0 \leq \psi(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

In this article, we also recall the notion of the Meir-Keeler type mapping. A function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a Meir-Keeler type mapping (see [6]), if for each  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\eta \leq t < \eta + \delta$ , we have  $\psi(t) < \eta$ . Subsequently, some authors worked on this notion (for example, [7-10]). This article will deal with two new mappings of the stronger Meir-Keeler type and weaker Meir-Keeler type in a metric space  $(X, d)$ . We first introduce the below notion of stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in a metric space.

**Definition 2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We call  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$  a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$  if the mapping  $\psi$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\forall \eta > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \exists \gamma_\eta \in [0, 1) \forall x, y \in X (\eta \leq d(x, y) < \delta + \eta \Rightarrow \psi(d(x, y)) < \gamma_\eta).$$

**Example 1** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, x_2), \quad y = (y_1, y_2) \in X.$$

If  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ ,  $\psi(d(x, y)) = \frac{d(x, y)}{d(x, y) + 1}$ , then  $\psi$  is a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ .

The following provides an example of a Meir-Keeler type mapping which is not a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in a metric space  $(X, d)$ .

**Example 2** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, x_2), \quad y = (y_1, y_2) \in X.$$

If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$\phi(d(x, y)) = \begin{cases} d(x, y) - 1, & \text{if } d(x, y) > 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } d(x, y) \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

then  $\phi$  is a Meir-Keeler type mapping which is not a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ .

We next introduce the below notion of weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping in a metric space.

**Definition 3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $\phi$  is called a weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ , if the mapping  $\phi$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\forall \eta > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x, y \in X (\eta \leq d(x, y) < \delta + \eta \Rightarrow \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \quad \phi^{n_0}(d(x, y)) < \eta).$$

**Example 3** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, x_2), \quad y = (y_1, y_2) \in X.$$

If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\phi(d(x, y)) = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$ , then  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ .

The following provides an example of a weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping which is not a Meir-Keeler type mapping in a metric space  $(X, d)$ .

**Example 4** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, x_2), \quad y = (y_1, y_2) \in X.$$

If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$\phi(d(x, y)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d(x, y) \leq 1, \\ 2 \cdot d(x, y), & \text{if } 1 < d(x, y) < 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } d(x, y) \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

then  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping which is not a Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ .

## 2 The fixed point theorems for cyclic orbital Meir-Keeler contractions

Using the notions of the cyclic orbital contraction (see, Definition 1) and stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping (see, Definition 2), we introduce the below notion of cyclic orbital stronger Meir-Keeler contraction.

**Definition 4** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Suppose  $f : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cyclic map such that for some  $x \in A$ , there exists a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  in  $X$  such that

$$d(f^{2n}x, fy) \leq \psi(d(f^{2n-1}x, y)) \cdot d(f^{2n-1}x, y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in A. \quad (2)$$

Then  $f$  is called a cyclic orbital stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction.

Now, we are in a position to state the following theorem.

**Theorem 7** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ . Suppose  $f : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cyclic orbital stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction. Then  $A \cap B$  is nonempty and  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cyclic orbital stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction, there exists  $x \in A$  satisfying (2), and we also have that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{2n}x, f^{2n+1}x) &\leq \psi(d(f^{2n-1}x, f^{2n}x)) \cdot d(f^{2n-1}x, f^{2n}x) \\ &\leq d(f^{2n-1}x, f^{2n}x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{2n+1}x, f^{2n+2}x) &= d(f^{2n+2}x, f^{2n+1}x) \\ &\leq \psi(d(f^{2n+1}x, f^{2n}x)) \cdot d(f^{2n+1}x, f^{2n}x) \\ &\leq d(f^{2n+1}x, f^{2n}x) = d(f^{2n}x, f^{2n+1}x). \end{aligned}$$

Generally, we have

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \leq d(f^{n-1} x, f^n x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x)\}$  is non-increasing and hence it is convergent. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) = \eta$ . Then there exists  $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq \kappa_0$ ,

$$\eta \leq d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) < \eta + \delta.$$

Taking into account the above inequality and the definition of stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping  $\psi$  in  $X$ , corresponding to  $\eta$  use, there exists  $\gamma_\eta \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\psi(d(f^{k_0+n} x, f^{k_0+n+1} x)) < \gamma_\eta \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore, by (2), we also deduce that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{k_0+n} x, f^{k_0+n+1} x) &\leq \psi(d(f^{k_0+n-1} x, f^{k_0+n} x)) \cdot d(f^{k_0+n-1} x, f^{k_0+n} x) \\ &< \gamma_\eta \cdot d(f^{k_0+n-1} x, f^{k_0+n} x), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{k_0+n} x, f^{k_0+n+1} x) &< \gamma_\eta \cdot d(f^{k_0+n-1} x, f^{k_0+n} x) \\ &< \dots \\ &< \gamma_\eta^n \cdot d(f^{k_0} x, f^{k_0+1} x). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{k_0+n} x, f^{k_0+n+1} x) = 0, \quad \text{since } \gamma_\eta \in [0, 1).$$

We now claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{k_0+n} x, f^{k_0+m} x) = 0$  for  $m > n$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , we have

$$d(f^{k_0+n} x, f^{k_0+m} x) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(f^{k_0+i} x, f^{k_0+i+1} x) < \frac{\gamma_\eta^{m-1}}{1 - \gamma_\eta} d(f^{k_0} x, f^{k_0+1} x),$$

and hence  $d(f^n x, f^m x) \rightarrow 0$ , since  $0 < \gamma_\eta < 1$ . So  $\{f^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, there exists  $v \in A \cup B$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = v$ . Now  $\{f^{2n} x\}$  is a sequence in  $A$  and  $\{f^{2n-1} x\}$  is a sequence in  $B$ , and also both converge to  $v$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are closed,  $v \in A \cap B$ , and so  $A \cap B$  is nonempty. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, f v) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{2n} x, f v) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi(d(f^{2n-1} x, v)) \cdot d(f^{2n-1} x, v)] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_\eta \cdot d(f^{2n-1} x, v)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $v$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, let  $\mu$  be another fixed point of  $f$ . By the cyclic character of  $f$ , we have  $v, \mu \in A \cap B$ . Since  $f$  is a cyclic orbital stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, \mu) &= d(v, f \mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{2n} x, f \mu) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi(d(f^{2n-1} x, \mu)) \cdot d(f^{2n-1} x, \mu)] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_\eta \cdot d(f^{2n-1} x, \mu)] \\ &\leq \gamma_\eta \cdot d(v, \mu) < d(v, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore  $\mu = v$ , and so  $v$  is a unique fixed point of  $f$ .

**Example 5** Let  $A = B = X = \mathbb{R}^+$  and we define  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|, \quad \text{for } x, y \in X.$$

Define  $f: X \rightarrow X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1; \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

and define  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$  by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1; \\ \frac{t}{t+1}, & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is a cyclic orbital stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction and 0 is the unique fixed point.

Using the notions of the cyclic orbital contraction (see, Definition 1) and weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping (see, Definition 3), we next introduce the notion of cyclic orbital weaker Meir-Keeler contraction. We first define the below notion of  $\phi$ -mapping.

**Definition 5** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We call  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  a  $\phi$ -mapping in  $X$  if the function  $\phi$  satisfies the following conditions:

( $\phi_1$ )  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$ ;

( $\phi_2$ ) (a) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \gamma > 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) < \gamma$  and

(b) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) = 0$ ;

( $\phi_3$ )  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing.

**Definition 6** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Suppose  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cyclic map such that for some  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\phi$ -mapping  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  in  $X$  such that

$$d(f^{2n}x, fy) \leq \phi(d(f^{2n-1}x, y)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in A. \quad (3)$$

Then  $f$  is called a cyclic orbital weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction.

Now, we are in a position to state the following theorem.

**Theorem 8** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a  $\phi$ -mapping in  $X$ . Suppose  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cyclic orbital weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction. Then  $A \cap B$  is nonempty and  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cyclic orbital weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction, there exists  $x \in A$  satisfying (3), and we also have that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(f^{2n}x, f^{2n+1}x) \leq \phi(d(f^{2n-1}x, f^{2n}x)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{2n+1}x, f^{2n+2}x) &= d(f^{2n+2}x, f^{2n+1}x) \\ &\leq \phi(d(f^{2n+1}x, f^{2n}x)). \end{aligned}$$

Generally, we have

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \leq \varphi(d(f^{n-1} x, f^n x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So we conclude that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) &\leq \varphi(d(f^{n-1} x, f^n x)) \\ &\leq \varphi^2(d(f^{n-2} x, f^{n-1} x)) \\ &\leq \dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(d(x, fx)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\phi^n(d(x, fx))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing, it must converge to some  $\eta \geq 0$ . We claim that  $\eta = 0$ . On the contrary, assume that  $\eta > 0$ . Then by the definition of weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping  $\phi$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\eta \leq d(x, y) < \delta + \eta$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi^{n_0}(d(x, y)) < \eta$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x, fx)) = \eta$ , there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\eta \leq \phi^{m_0}(d(x, fx)) < \delta + \eta$ , for all  $m > m_0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\varphi^{m_0+n_0}(d(x_0, x_1)) < \eta$ , and we get a contradiction. So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x, fx)) = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) = 0$ .

Next, we let  $c_m = d(f^m x, f^{m+1} x)$ , and we claim that the following result holds:

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$d(f^m x, f^{m+1} x) < \varepsilon. \quad (*)$$

We shall prove  $(*)$  by contradiction. Suppose that  $(*)$  is false. Then there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are  $m_p, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_p > n_p \geq p$  satisfying:

- (i)  $m_p$  is even and  $n_p$  is odd,
- (ii)  $d(f^{m_p} x, f^{n_p} x) \geq \varepsilon$ , and
- (iii)  $m_p$  is the smallest even number such that the conditions (i), (ii) hold.

Since  $c_m \searrow 0$ , by (ii), we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{m_p} x, f^{n_p} x) = \varepsilon$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(f^{m_p} x, f^{n_p} x) \\ &\leq d(f^{m_p} x, f^{m_p+1} x) + d(f^{m_p+1} x, f^{n_p+1} x) + d(f^{n_p+1} x, f^{n_p} x) \\ &\leq d(f^{m_p} x, f^{m_p+1} x) + \varphi(d(f^{m_p} x, f^{n_p} x)) + d(f^{n_p+1} x, f^{n_p} x). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by the condition  $(\phi_2)$ -(a) of  $\phi$ -mapping, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq 0 + \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(f^{m_p} x, f^{n_p} x)) + 0 < \varepsilon,$$

a contradiction. So  $\{f^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, there exists  $v \in A \cup B$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = v$ . Now  $\{f^{2n} x\}$  is a sequence in  $A$  and  $\{f^{2n-1} x\}$  is a sequence in  $B$ , and also both converge to  $v$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are closed,  $v \in A \cap B$ , and so  $A \cap B$  is nonempty. By the condition  $(\phi_2)$ -(b) of  $\phi$ -mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, f v) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{2n} x, f v) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(f^{2n-1} x, v)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $v$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Let  $\mu$  be another fixed point of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is a cyclic orbital weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, \mu) &= d(v, f\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{2n}x, f\mu) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(f^{2n-1}x, \mu)) \\ &< d(v, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mu = v$ . Thus  $v$  is a unique fixed point of  $f$ .

**Example 6** Let  $A = B = X = \mathbb{R}^+$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|, \quad \text{for } x, y \in X.$$

Define  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1; \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

and define  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{3}t \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then  $f$  is a cyclic orbital weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction and 0 is the unique fixed point.

### 3 The fixed point theorems for generalized cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions

Using the notions of the generalized cyclic contraction [1] and stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping, we introduce the below notion of generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler contraction.

**Definition 7** Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ , and suppose  $f : \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  satisfies the following conditions (where  $A_{k+1} = A_1$ ):

- (i)  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;
- (ii)  $d(fx, fy) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y)$  for all  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Then we call  $f$  a generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction.

We state the main fixed point theorem for the generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction, as follows:

**Theorem 9** Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping in  $X$ , and let  $f : \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  be a generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $\cap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

*Proof.* Given  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $x_n = f^n x_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $f$  is a generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction, we have that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \\ &\leq \psi(d(f^{n-1} x_0, f^n x_0)) \cdot d(f^{n-1} x_0, f^n x_0) \\ &\leq d(f^{n-1} x_0, f^n x_0) = d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequence  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is non-increasing and hence it is convergent. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \eta \geq 0$ . Then there exists  $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq \kappa_0$



$$\eta \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \eta + \delta.$$

Taking into account the above inequality and the definition of stronger Meir-Keeler type mapping  $\psi$  in  $X$ , corresponding to  $\eta$  use, there exists  $\gamma_n \in [0,1)$  such that

$$\psi(d(x_{k_0+n}, x_{k_0+n+1})) < \gamma_n,$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Thus, we can deduce that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{k_0+n}, x_{k_0+n+1}) &= d(f^{k_0+n}x_0, f^{k_0+n+1}x_0) \\ &\leq \psi(d(f^{k_0+n-1}x_0, f^{k_0+n}x_0)) \cdot d(f^{k_0+n-1}x_0, f^{k_0+n}x_0) \\ &< \gamma_n d(f^{k_0+n-1}x_0, f^{k_0+n}x_0), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{k_0+n}, x_{k_0+n+1}) &< \gamma_n d(f^{k_0+n-1}x_0, f^{k_0+n}x_0) \\ &< \dots \\ &< \gamma_n^n d(f^{k_0+1}x_0, f^{k_0+2}x_0). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{k_0+n}, x_{k_0+n+1}) = 0, \quad \text{since } \gamma_n < 1.$$

We now claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{k_0+n}, x_{k_0+m}) = 0$  for  $m > n$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{k_0+n}, x_{k_0+m}) &= d(f^{k_0+n}x_0, f^{k_0+m}x_0) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(f^{k_0+i}x_0, f^{k_0+i+1}x_0) \\ &< \frac{\gamma_n^{m-1}}{1 - \gamma_n} d(f^{k_0}x_0, f^{k_0+1}x_0), \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $d(f^n x_0, f^m x_0) \rightarrow 0$ , since  $0 < \gamma_n < 1$ . So  $\{f^n x_0\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x_0 = v$ . Now for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ,  $\{f^{kn-i}x\}$  is a sequence in  $A_i$  and also all converge to  $v$ . Since  $A_i$  is closed for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we conclude  $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ , and also we conclude that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i \neq \emptyset$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, f v) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{kn}x, f v) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi(d(f^{kn-1}x, v)) \cdot d(f^{kn-1}x, v)] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n \cdot d(f^{kn-1}x, v)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $v$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Finally, to prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, let  $\mu$  be another fixed point of  $f$ . By the cyclic character of  $f$ , we have  $\mu \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i$ . Since  $f$  is a generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, \mu) &= d(v, f\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{kn}x, f\mu) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi(d(f^{kn-1}x, \mu)) \cdot d(f^{kn-1}x, \mu)] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_\eta \cdot d(f^{kn-1}x, \mu)] \\ &\leq \gamma_\eta \cdot d(v, \mu) < d(v, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mu = v$ . Thus  $v$  is a unique fixed point of  $f$ .

**Example 7** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^3$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| + |x_3 - y_3|, \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2, x_3), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in X,$$

and let  $A = \{(x, 0, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $B = \{(0, y, 0) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $C = \{(0, 0, z) : z \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be three subsets of  $X$ . Define  $f : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f((x, 0, 0)) &= (0, x, 0); & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}; \\ f((0, y, 0)) &= (0, 0, y); & \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}; \\ f((0, 0, z)) &= (z, 0, 0); & \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

and define  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\psi(t) = \frac{t}{t+1}; \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then  $f$  is a generalized cyclic stronger Meir-Keeler  $\psi$ -contraction and  $(0, 0, 0)$  is the unique fixed point.

Using the notions of the generalized cyclic contraction and weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping, we introduce the below notion of generalized cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler contraction.

**Definition 8** Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a  $\phi$ -mapping in  $X$ , and suppose  $f : \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  satisfies the following conditions (where  $A_{k+1} = A_1$ ):

- (i)  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;
- (ii)  $d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Then we call  $f$  a generalized cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction.

Now, we are in a position to state the following theorem.

**Theorem 10** Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a  $\phi$ -mapping in  $X$ , and let  $f : \cup_{i=1}^k A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$  be a generalized cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $\cap_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

*Proof.* Given  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $x_n = f^n x_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $f$  is a generalized cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction, we have that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(f^n x_0, f^{n+1} x_0) \\ &\leq \phi(d(f^{n-1} x_0, f^n x_0)) = \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\leq \dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq \phi^n(d(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\phi^n(d(x_0, x_1))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing, it must converge to some  $\eta \geq 0$ . We claim that  $\eta = 0$ . On the contrary, assume that  $\eta > 0$ . Then by the definition of weaker Meir-Keeler type mapping  $\phi$  in  $X$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\eta \leq d(x, y) < \delta + \eta$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi^{n_0}(d(x, y)) < \eta < \eta$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) = \eta$ , there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\eta < \phi^{m_0}(d(x_0, x_1)) < \delta + \eta$ , for all  $m > m_0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\phi^{m_0+n_0}(d(x_0, x_1)) < \eta$ , a contradiction. So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ .

Next, we claim that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. We claim that the following result holds:

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon, \quad (**)$$

We shall prove (\*\*) by contradiction. Suppose that (\*\*) is false. Then there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are  $m_p, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_p > n_p \geq p$  satisfying:

- (i)  $d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}) \geq \varepsilon$ , and
- (ii)  $m_p$  is the smallest number greater than  $n_p$  such that the condition (i) holds.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}) \\ &\leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{m_{p-1}}) + d(x_{m_{p-1}}, x_{n_p}) \\ &\leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{m_{p-1}}) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

hence we conclude  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}) = \varepsilon$ . Since

$$d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}) - d(x_{m_p}, x_{m_{p+1}}) \leq d(x_{m_{p+1}}, x_{n_p}) \leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{m_{p+1}}) + d(x_{m_{p+1}}, x_{n_p}),$$

we also conclude  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_{p+1}}, x_{n_p}) = \varepsilon$ . Thus, there exists  $i, 0 \leq i \leq k-1$  such that  $m_p - n_p + i = 1 \pmod k$  for infinitely many  $p$ . If  $i = 0$ , then we have that for such  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}) \\ &\leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{m_{p+1}}) + d(x_{m_{p+1}}, x_{n_{p+1}}) + d(x_{n_{p+1}}, x_{n_p}) \\ &\leq d(x_{m_p}, x_{m_{p+1}}) + \phi(d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p})) + d(x_{n_{p+1}}, x_{n_p}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by the condition  $(\phi_2)$ -(a) of  $\phi$ -mapping, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq 0 + \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p})) + 0 < \varepsilon,$$

a contradiction. The case  $i \neq 0$  similar. Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v$ . Now for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ,  $\{f^{kn-i}x\}$  is a sequence in  $A_i$  and also all converge to  $v$ . Since  $A_i$  is closed for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we conclude  $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ , and also we conclude that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i \neq \emptyset$ . By the condition  $(\phi_2)$ -(b) of  $\phi$ -mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, f v) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{kn} x, f v) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(f^{kn-1} x, v)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $v$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Let  $\mu$  be another fixed point of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is a generalized cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, \mu) &= d(v, f\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{kn}x, f\mu) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(f^{kn-1}x, \mu)) \\ &< d(v, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mu = v$ . Thus  $v$  is a unique fixed point of  $f$ .

**Example 8** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^3$  and we define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| + |x_3 - y_3|, \text{ for } x = (x_1, x_2, x_3), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in X,$$

and let  $A = \{(x, 0, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $B = \{(0, y, 0) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $C = \{(0, 0, z) : z \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be three subsets of  $X$ . Define  $f : A \cup B \cup C \rightarrow A \cup B \cup C$  by

$$f((x, 0, 0)) = \left(0, \frac{1}{4}x, 0\right); \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$f((0, y, 0)) = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{4}y\right); \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$f((0, 0, z)) = \left(\frac{1}{4}z, 0, 0\right); \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and define  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{3}t; \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then  $f$  is a generalized cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler  $\phi$ -contraction and  $(0, 0, 0)$  is the unique fixed point.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank referee(s) for many useful comments and suggestions for the improvement of the article.

#### Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 3 December 2011 Accepted: 16 March 2012 Published: 16 March 2012

#### References

- Kirk, WA, Srinivasan, PS, Veeramani, P: Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions. *Fixed Point Theory*. **4**(1):79–89 (2003)
- Karpagam, S, Agrawal, S: Best proximity point theorems for cyclic orbital Meir-Keeler contraction maps. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 1040–1046 (2010)
- Edelstein, M: On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **37**, 74–79 (1962). doi:10.1112/jlms/s1-37.1.74
- Geraghty, MA: On contractive mappings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **40**, 604–608 (1973). doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1973-0334176-5
- Boyd, DW, Wong, SW: On nonlinear contractions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **20**, 458–464 (1969). doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1969-0239559-9
- Meir, A, Keeler, E: A theorem on contraction mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **28**, 326–329 (1969). doi:10.1016/0022-247X(69)90031-6
- Di Bari, C, Suzuki, T, Vetro, C: Best proximity for cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 3790–3794 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.na.2007.10.014
- Jankovic, S, Kadelburg, Z, Radonevic, S, Rhoades, BE: Best proximity point theorems for  $p$ -cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2009**, 9 (2009). Article ID 197308

9. Suzuki, T: Fixed-point theorem for asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type in complete metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **64**, 971–978 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.04.054
10. Suzuki, T: Moudafis viscosity approximations with Meir-Keeler contractions. *J Math Anal Appl.* **325**, 342–352 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.01.080

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-41

**Cite this article as:** Chen: Fixed point theorems for cyclic Meir-Keeler type mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012 **2012**:41.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---